| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/534,549 | KWON, DONG-SOON |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | SMITA PATEL | 1793 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) <u>SMITA PATEL</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Taiksoo Lee</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>3 December 2010</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-28 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| /S. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed with Applicant to amend Claims 1-3, 5-6, 13-15, 17-20, 22-28 and to cancel the Claims 4, 16 and 21 to overcome 112 rejection andto make some language clarification. Applicant has given authorization for exainer's amendment in order to make the changes in the claims 1-3, 5-6, 13-15, 17-20, 22-28 and to cancel the Claims 4, 16 and 21.